Case 2:10-cr-00757-ROS Document 210 Filed 08/15/12 Page 1 of 12 1 CR-10-00757-PHX-ROS, July 26, 2010 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 1 2 FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA 3 4 United States of America, 5 Plaintiff, 6 vs. CR-10-00757-PHX-ROS 7 James R. Parker, et al., Defendants. 8 July 26, 2010 9 2:05 p.m. 10 11 BEFORE: THE HONORABLE ROSLYN O. SILVER, CHIEF JUDGE REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS 12 13 STATUS HEARING 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 Official Court Reporter: 21 Elaine Cropper, RDR, CRR, CCP Sandra Day O'Connor U.S. Courthouse, Suite 312 22 401 West Washington Street, Spc. 35 23 Phoenix, Arizona 85003-2151 (602) 322-7249 24 Proceedings Reported by Stenographic Court Reporter Transcript Prepared by Computer-Aided Transcription 25 United States District Court

Case 2:10-cr-00757-ROS Document 210 Filed 08/15/12 Page 2 of 12 2 CR-10-00757-PHX-ROS, July 26, 2010 1 APPEARANCES 2 For the Government: 3 PETER S. SEXTON, ESQ. U.S. Attorney's Office 40 North Central Avenue, Suite 1200 4 Phoenix, AZ 85004-4408 602.514.7500 5 6 For the Defendants: JOY MALBY BERTRAND, ESQ. (Present telephonically) 7 Joy Bertrand Esq., L.L.C. P.O. Box 2734 8 Scottsdale, AZ 885252-2734 480.656.3919/(fax) 480.361.4694 9 DANA CARPENTER, ESQ. Law Office of Dana Carpenter 10 420 W. Roosevelt St., Suite 105 Phoenix, AZ 85003-1325 11 602.266.5770/(fax) 602.412.5720 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 United States District Court

Case 2:10-cr-00757-ROS	Document 210	Filed 08/15/12	Page 3 of 12 3
------------------------	--------------	----------------	----------------

CR-10-00757-PHX-ROS, July 26, 2010

PROCEEDINGS

(Court was called to order by the courtroom deputy.)

COURTROOM DEPUTY: This is case number CR 10-757,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24 25

United States District Court

02:05:08

United States of America v. James R. Parker and Jacqueline. L. Parker, on for status conference.

(Ms. Bertrand is present telephonically.)

MR. SEXTON: Good afternoon. Peter Sexton for the United States.

THE COURT: Good afternoon.

(Proceedings begin at 2:05.)

THE COURT: Please be seated.

MR. CARPENTER: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Carpenter. Also appearing for Thomas Hoidal. We had originally filed a limited notice of appearance at the arraignment. We are not going to represent Mr. -- Mr. Hoidal is not going to represent James Parker and I am not going to represent Jacqueline Parker. I am not sure if they have made additional -- if they have both retained counsel or what they have done in that regard. Perhaps Ms. Bertrand can state that or the record. But I would ask the Court that I have leave to be dismissed at this time.

THE COURT: So that means both you or Mr. Hoidal, does he represent somebody else?

MR. CARPENTER: Your Honor, both Mr. Hoidal represents James Parker, the first defendant in this matter, or 02:06:09

02:05:22

02:05:33

02:05:57

Case 2:10-cr-00757-ROS Document 210 Filed 08/15/12 Page 4 of 12 CR-10-00757-PHX-ROS, July 26, 2010 at least he did to the arraignment. I represented the wife, 02:06:13 Jacqueline Parker, who, by the way, are both present and out of custody in the back of the courtroom. But it was just for the limited purposes of arraignment in cases, Mr. Hoidal and mine, filed a limited 02:06:25 notice for the arraignment only. We have not been retained. Ι came today because I wanted to make the record clear. THE COURT: Okay. Let me see if I understand. You're moving to withdraw? MR. CARPENTER: In effect, yes, although I'm not sure 02:06:42 we have -- other than the limited appearance we made, that I needed to do that. THE COURT: And then with respect to Mr. Hoidal, do you anticipate he is going to move to withdraw? MR. CARPENTER: He has asked me to do that here today 02:06:54 as well verbally. THE COURT: So then are these defendants, the MR. CARPENTER: I believe on the phone right now is 02:07:04

Parkers, without counsel?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Joy Bertrand, who has been asked to be local counsel for a law firm I believe out of Chicago. But that is the only appearance that I know, and I know they entered a limited appearance as well.

MS. BERTRAND: Yes, Your Honor. Joy Bertrand appearing by phone today per the Court's order.

United States District Court

02:07:18

Case 2:10-cr-00757-ROS Document 210 Filed 08/15/12 Page 5 of 12 _ CR-10-00757-PHX-ROS, July 26, 2010 THE COURT: And, Ms. Bertrand, who do you represent 02:07:22 then? MS. BERTRAND: Your Honor, so far, my limited notice of appearance was only as to Mr. Parker. And just to make sure the record is clear, the firm that I would be working with as 02:07:34 local counsel is The Minns Law Firm out of Houston, Texas. THE COURT: All right. Let me stop you. Would you spell the name? MS. BERTRAND: Sure. M, as in Mary, I, N, as in Nancy, N, as in Nancy, S, as in Sam. 02:07:54 THE COURT: So, okay. And that is the firm that actually represents Mr. Parker? MS. BERTRAND: Well, their representation has not been final. We expect it to be finished up, because of the pro hac vice, within 30 days. Right now their representation has 02:08:14 not been finalized. That's why I permitted a limited appearance, just to let the Court know we're expecting to be hired and have this finished up. And because the Court was planning on discussing scheduling today, we didn't want to have to redo the scheduling hearing in a month or so. 02:08:36 Right now I don't believe that that has all been

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Right now I don't believe that that has all been completed. The initial step is to take a look the hiring.

THE COURT: Well, before this hearing can go forward,

I am going to ensure that there is representation because,

obviously, I can not move forward on something as critical as

02:08:58

Case 2:10-cr-00757-ROS Document 210 Filed 08/15/12 Page 6 of 12 6 CR-10-00757-PHX-ROS, July 26, 2010	
CR-10-00/5/-PHX-ROS, Duly 26, 2010	
the schedule without representation.	02:09:05
Do you know, Ms. Bertrand, who is going to represent	
Mr. Parker's wife?	
MS. BERTRAND: No, ma'am. I do not know who is going	
to be representing Mrs. Parker so far. My association so far	02:09:18
has only been with regards to Mr. Parker.	
THE COURT: So, then, Mr. Carpenter, as of today, you	
still represent Ms. Parker until I allow you to withdraw.	
MR. CARPENTER: Well, I don't think that that is	
actually true, because of the limited notice of appearance.	02:09:46
But I wanted, as a courtesy today, to come and make sure the	
Court understood what was happening.	
THE COURT: Okay. So then she's unrepresented?	
MR. CARPENTER: That is correct. And I think that	
that is an issue that the Court has to address.	02:09:57
THE COURT: And she's here in the courtroom.	
MR. CARPENTER: Yes. And I don't want to make any	
representations as to how she wishes to proceed because I don't	
know.	
THE COURT: So in other words, you don't know? You	02:10:17
haven't talked to her about that?	
MR. CARPENTER: No.	
THE COURT: Well, I'm not going to talk to her about	
anything. She's here in the courtroom. Are you?	
Please come forward.	02:10:28

	Case 2:10-cr-00757-ROS Document 210 Filed 08/15/12 Page 7 of 12 7	
	CR-10-00757-PHX-ROS, July 26, 2010	
1	MR. CARPENTER: Do you want her at the podium, Your	02:10:35
2	Honor?	
3	THE COURT: Yes.	
4	And do you intend to obtain counsel for yourself?	
5	MS. PARKER: Yes.	02:10:48
6	THE COURT: And have you made efforts to do so?	
7	MS. PARKER: Yes.	
8	THE COURT: Do you have counsel?	
9	MS. PARKER: I am talking to the same firm that my	
10	husband is.	02:10:55
11	THE COURT: Okay. So, Ms. Bertrand, do you know	
12	anything about that?	
13	MS. BERTRAND: What I know about it, Your Honor, is	
14	that we are at this time believe that we cannot ethically	
15	represent both Mr. and Mrs. Parker and that we will be looking	02:11:12
16	for an attorney for Mrs. Parker as well.	
17	But our initial belief is that we cannot represent	
18	both of them. We're going to be happy to help her, however,	
19	find counsel and assist in those arrangements, but we do not	
20	represent her at this time.	02:11:35
21	THE COURT: All right. And that makes sense. We're	
22	not going to hold this scheduling conference, then, until	
23	everyone has counsel here in the courtroom.	
24	I did note, however, that there were two separate	
25	scheduling orders, proposed scheduling orders, submitted, one	02:11:50
	United States District Court	

order at this point until it is clear that there is representation on behalf of all the defendants.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that I need something in writing for the Court to allow his substitution of counsel. Obviously, we're a long ways closer to having that take place, but that has to be done in writing and as expeditiously as possible.

The same for Ms. Parker. You need to get counsel. You've now heard what the issues are. And I agree with Ms. Bertrand, that out of an abundance of caution, her firm or the firm that represents your husband, the Minns firm, through her here in Arizona, should not be representing you. Do you understand?

02:13:15

02:13:37

	Case 2:10-cr-00757-ROS Document 210 Filed 08/15/12 Page 9 of 12 ₉	
	CR-10-00757-PHX-ROS, July 26, 2010	
1	MS. PARKER: Yes.	02:13:38
2	THE COURT: Okay.	
3	All right. We're going to set this hearing off, the	
4	status hearing today, for approximately two weeks.	
5	And counsel are then to accomplish what you have	02:13:46
6	proposed that you are going to do in advance of that, at least	
7	within 10 days.	
8	Christine?	
9	COURTROOM DEPUTY: August 10 at 11 a.m.	
10	THE COURT: August 10 at 11 a.m.	02:14:00
11	MR. SEXTON: Could I ask for a different time and	
12	date because I've got some conflicts on that date?	
13	THE COURT: We can do it a week later.	
14	COURTROOM DEPUTY: No. We can do August 30.	
15	THE COURT: August 30, then, at 10 a.m.	02:14:16
16	MS. BERTRAND: Okay.	
17	THE COURT: August 30 and then by August 20,	
18	representation for all the defendants needs to have been	
19	accomplished and everybody knows how that is accomplished.	
20	I should say August 13. It all needs to be	02:14:35
21	accomplished. And by the 20th you're to file a joint notice of	
22	the proposed schedule for completion of this matter. Is that	
23	clear?	
24	Mr. Sexton?	
25	MR. SEXTON: First off, Judge, was it 10 o'clock on	02:14:53
	United States District Court	

	CR-10-00757-PHX-ROS, July 26, 2010	
1	August 30?	02:14:55
2	COURTROOM DEPUTY: Yes.	
3	MR. SEXTON: And when is the trial setting in this	
4	case?	
5	MS. BERTRAND: I don't believe there's a trial set,	02:15:02
6	Your Honor.	
7	THE COURT: Let's see.	
8	COURTROOM DEPUTY: It's set for August 3.	
9	THE COURT: It's set for August 3.	
10	MR. SEXTON: That's what I'm concerned with, with an	02:15:14
11	August 30 status conference with an August 3 trial setting	
12	there. We should get something on the record.	
13	COURTROOM DEPUTY: There's already a motion to	
14	continue pending.	
15	THE COURT: There's a motion to continue which is	02:15:25
16	pending and the motion is granted. So then the matter will be	
17	set for trial two months after the third and that will be.	
18	COURTROOM DEPUTY: October 5.	
19	THE COURT: October 5. And I presume no one in this	
20	courtroom objects to the continuance of the trial. Am I	02:15:42
21	correct?	
22	MR. SEXTON: That's correct from the government.	
23	MS. BERTRAND: That's correct, Your Honor.	
24	THE COURT: Ms. Parker, any objection?	
25	MS. PARKER: No.	02:15:51
		1

United States District Court

Case 2:10-cr-00757-ROS Document 210 Filed 08/15/12 Page 10 of 12 10

	Case 2:10-cr-00757-ROS Document 210 Filed 08/15/12 Page 11 of 12	
	CR-10-00757-PHX-ROS, July 26, 2010	
1	THE COURT: Mr. Carpenter?	02:15:52
2	MR. CARPENTER: Your Honor, could the minute entry	
3	reflect my withdrawal as of today?	
4	THE COURT: It will.	
5	MR. CARPENTER: All right. Thank you.	02:16:01
6	THE COURT: So there's been no objection. The motion	
7	to continue is granted. The Court finds the Speedy Trial Act	
8	is that there is a basis for continuing the trial to allow	
9	counsel to prepare for trial adequately. So that the time is	
10	excluded. And there has been a written motion filed, so that	02:16:18
11	takes care of that.	
12	All right. Anything else, Mr. Sexton?	
13	MS. BERTRAND: Your Honor, what time was the hearing	
14	on the 30th?	
15	THE COURT: 10 o'clock.	02:16:30
16	Anything else?	
17	MR. SEXTON: I have nothing further.	
18	MS. BERTRAND: No, Your Honor.	
19	THE COURT: Anything else, Ms. Parker?	
20	MS. PARKER: No.	02:16:37
21	THE COURT: Mr. Carpenter, anything else?	
22	MR. CARPENTER: No, Your Honor. Thank you.	
23	THE COURT: All right. We're adjourned.	
24	MS. BERTRAND: Thank you, Your Honor.	
25	(Whereupon, these proceedings recessed at 2:16 p.m.)	
	United States District Court	

Case 2:10-cr-00757-ROS Document 210 Filed 08/15/12 Page 12 of 12 CR-10-00757-PHX-ROS, July 26, 2010
CERTIFICATE
I, ELAINE M. CROPPER, do hereby certify that I am duly appointed and qualified to act as Official Court Reporter for the United States District Court for the District of Arizona.
I FURTHER CERTIFY that the foregoing pages constitute a full, true, and accurate transcript of all of that portion of the proceedings contained herein, had in the above-entitled cause on the date specified therein, and that said transcript was prepared under my direction and control, and to the best of my ability. DATED at Phoenix, Arizona, this 5th day of August, 2012.
s/Elaine M. Cropper Elaine M. Cropper, RDR, CRR, CCP